

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boo. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,415	11/21/2003	Dusan Pavenik	PA-5360-RFB 3409 EXAMINER	
9896	7590 03/20/2006			
COOK GROUP PATENT OFFICE			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3738	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,415	PAVCNIK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher D. Prone	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,— .	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		ie Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		•
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		ivad
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	IIVEU.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
		· .

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a stent tissue graft prosthesis.

Species 1 shown in figures 1 and 2

Species 2 shown in figure 3

Species 3 shown in figure 4

Species 4 shown in figure 5

Species 5 shown in figure 10

Species 6 shown in figure 11

Species 7 shown in figure 12

Upon applicant's election from the above species a further election from the following subspecies is required.

Species 8 shown in figure 6

Species 9 shown in figure 7

Species 10 shown in figure 8

The species are independent or distinct because each embodiment has a distinct structure/framework, which will require a different search.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Richard Godlewski on 3/14/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 10/719,415

Art Unit: 3738

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

岬 CDP

> CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700